

Nanoparticle transport pathways into tumors

S. M. Moghimi  • D. Simberg

Received: 11 April 2018 / Accepted: 11 June 2018
© The Author(s) 2018

Abstract Two transport pathways (interendothelial and transendothelial routes) have long been proposed for entry of nanoparticles from the blood circulation into solid tumors. We examine and discuss available evidence supporting interendothelial and transendothelial transport processes and suggest new avenues for re-evaluating these pathways. Understanding of integrative mechanisms controlling nanoparticle extravasation into tumors is important for improving engineering and performance of anti-cancer nanopharmaceuticals.

This article is part of the topical collection: Unifying Concepts for Nanoscience and Nanosystems: 20th Anniversary Issue

Donald Tomalia, Paolo Milani, and Kenneth Dawson, co-editors

S. M. Moghimi
School of Pharmacy, The Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, King George VI Building, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 7RU, UK

S. M. Moghimi
Division of Stratified Medicine, Biomarkers & Therapeutics, Institute of Cellular Medicine, Newcastle University, Framlington Place, Newcastle upon Tyne NE2 4HH, UK

S. M. Moghimi (✉) • D. Simberg
Translational Bio-Nanosciences Laboratory and Colorado Center for Nanomedicine and Nanosafety, The Skaggs School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Colorado Denver, Anschutz Medical Campus, 12850 East Montview Blvd, Aurora, CO 80045, USA
e-mail: seyed.moghimi@ncl.ac.uk
e-mail: moein.moghimi@gmail.com

Keywords Interendothelial transport • Nanomedicine • Nanoparticle • Solid tumors • Transendothelial transport • Nanoparticle accumulation in tumors

Introduction

The enhanced permeability and retention effect (EPR) has become an equivocal concept in the targeting and development of anti-cancer nanomedicines. This concept has been recently scrutinized due to disappointing therapeutic efficacy and limited clinical success with anti-cancer nanomedicines compared with excellent results in small animal xenograft models (Anchordoquy et al. 2017; Bjornholm et al. 2017; Moghimi and Farhangrazi 2014; Nel et al. 2017; Park 2013). The complexities and heterogeneity associated with the EPR effect have now been confirmed in high-resolution imaging studies in dogs with primary tumors, but these attempts have yet to resolve nanomedicine transport pathways into tumors as well as their variability within the same tumor mass (Hansen et al. 2015). Two transport pathways for translocation of nanoparticles from the blood circulation into the solid tumors (interendothelial and transendothelial pathways) have been proposed (Bjornholm et al. 2017; Chauhan et al. 2012; Cabral et al. 2011; Dvorak and Feng 2001; Fang and Nakamura 2011; Gerlowski and Jain 1986; Hobbs et al. 1998; Liu et al. 2017; Matsumura and Maeda 1986; Taurin et al. 2012; Yuan et al. 1995). The interendothelial pathway considers nanomedicine extravasation from the blood into tumor interstitium through the pores or open fenestrations in the tumor blood vessels, whereas the latter

relies on transcytosis by tumor endothelial cells. What are the evidence supporting these pathways?

Transendothelial route

Limited morphological evidence support operation of transendothelial route in some tumors, and biomarkers supporting transendothelial transport are becoming available (Dvorak and Feng 2001; Thurston et al. 1998; Liu et al. 2017). For instance, one study reported the presence of more fluorescently labeled cationic liposomes in the endosomes and multivesicular bodies of the tumor-associated endothelial cells than in adjacent normal vessels in a β cell carcinoma model spontaneously developed in the pancreas of RIP-Tag transgenic mice (Thurston et al. 1998). More recently, ultrastructural and functional studies in an orthotopic tumor model in mice strongly supported dominant involvement of a transcytosis pathway involving a vesicular network of similar appearance to vesiculo-vascular organelles (Dvorak and Feng 2001) (and triggered by neuropilin-1, which is expressed on tumor blood vessels) in nanoparticle transport into solid tumors (Liu et al. 2017). The vascular neuropilin-1 expression apparently regulates the extent of nanoparticle translocation into tumors (Pang et al. 2014) and may serve as a biomarker for predicting the tumor response to nanopharmaceutical delivery (Liu et al. 2017).

Mouse tumor xenografts often have high vascular density with notable contributions from cutaneous vascular network, since tumor cells are usually inoculated subcutaneously (Anchordoquy et al. 2017; Bjornholm et al. 2017; Moghimi and Farhangrazi 2014; Nel et al. 2017; Park 2013; Taurin et al. 2012). Recently, we demonstrated rapid skin deposition of intravenously injected liposomes regardless of their lipid composition and circulatory half-lives (Griffin et al. 2017). This process presumably involved liposome translocation across the skin capillaries, with an active role for endothelial cells in uptake and transcytosis. Therefore, it is plausible that in xenografts, the rich cutaneous vascular network may increasingly contribute to transvascular transport of nanoparticles through active particle uptake and transcytosis by endothelial cells, and account for the major difference seen in particle accumulation kinetics between human tumors and mouse xenograft models.

Interendothelial route

It has been difficult to trace nanoparticle transport through interendothelial fenestrations, and this may have been due to technological deficiencies (such as poor resolution of intravital microscopy in observing nanoparticle dynamics) as well as many pathophysiological factors such as size heterogeneity seen in tumor vessel fenestrae (100–1200 nm), pore frequency/density, other architectural abnormalities, and stochastic intratumoral pressure (Fang and Nakamura 2011; Hobbs et al. 1998). The indirect evidence in favor of interendothelial transport pathway, however, has been based on the observation that large-sized particles (> 250 nm) can extravasate into various tumors (Yuan et al. 1995; Lee et al. 2013; Key et al. 2015), but the size range of some of these particles still fits the dimensions of endocytic compartments. Nevertheless, in Kaposi sarcoma, where tumor vessels are highly “leaky,” an electron microscopy study did not find direct evidence of nanoparticle migration across the pores (Huang et al. 1993). Others have also questioned whether pore-dependent mechanism of extravasation is truly operative, and have argued that extravasation may not solely be controlled by nanoparticle size (Smith et al. 2012). Similar to tumor blood vessels, sinusoidal capillaries in the liver also contain pores, allowing particle extravasation into the hepatic parenchyma (Wisse et al. 1985). Studies evaluating particle extravasation into hepatic parenchyma have identified a number of parameters controlling interendothelial transport, which may also be relevant to tumors. For instance, liposome size was shown not to be a decisive factor regulating passage across the pores (Daemen et al. 1997; Romero et al. 1999; Scherphof and Kamps 2001). On the other hand, liposome rigidity and phospholipid headgroup structure were playing modulatory roles. Liposomes, depending on their extent of deformability, could pass through the narrow fenestrations by forced “extrusion” involving red blood cells (Daemen et al. 1997; Romero et al. 1999; Scherphof and Kamps 2001). This is analogous with a process that regulates fluid exchange between the sinusoid and the space of Disse (“endothelial massaging”) (Wisse et al. 1985). However, not all fluid liposome types were “squeezable” through the fenestrations. This led to the hypothesis that for successful extravasation some interactions between liposomes and endothelium are necessary to retain vesicles (and hence the role of phospholipid headgroup structure) and drive extrusion by fast flowing

erythrocytes (Daemen et al. 1997; Romero et al. 1999; Scherphof and Kamps 2001). On this basis, particle extravasation through open fenestrations in the tumor blood vessels may not only depend on nanoparticle dimensions and the extent of particle deformability/rigidity, but also on weak/moderate interaction with endothelium to enable “retention.” If particle retention on tumor endothelium is a prerequisite for successful erythrocyte-mediated forced extravasation, then the efficiency of endothelial massaging will not only be dependent on local fluid dynamics, such as the apparent blood viscosity, blood flow rates in the tumor capillaries, and intratumoral pressure gradient, but also on particle shape (as this may modulate the extent of particle rolling on vessel walls), capillary diameter (which may control erythrocyte stretching and deformability, and hence collision rates with nanoparticles), and surface modification. Nevertheless, some surface strategies (e.g., ligand and polymer grafting) that promote particle retention on endothelium might also increase particle transport via transcytosis. The modulatory role of nanoparticle acquired blood protein corona (Moghimi et al. 2012) on endothelial retention and transcytosis also remains to be elucidated.

There have been many other recent studies mapping particle extravasation mechanisms into tumors, and yet none has resolved transport pathways satisfactorily. For instance, one study has proposed vascular bursts as an alternative explanation, but this study could not exclude the role of transendothelial transport as the explanation for bursts (Matsumoto et al. 2016). Mathematical models of size-dependent nanoparticle extravasation have also been built, showing that reducing the sizes of pores through vessel normalization decreases the interstitial fluid pressure in tumors and allows small nanoparticles to enter more rapidly (Chauhan et al. 2012). Collectively, these models a priori are based on pore hypothesis and could not differentiate between interendothelial and transendothelial transport pathways (Sykes et al. 2014).

Conclusions

The widespread use of xenograft models and complexities surrounding tumor endothelial cell biology and vessel architecture (e.g., vascular structural disorganization, irregular branching, and uneven distribution density) has contributed to ambiguity in transport pathways

across tumor endothelium. Furthermore, the interaction between nanoparticles and vessel walls (and subsequent extravasation processes) might be controlled by the perfusing concentration of nanoparticles (temporal dimension) as well as capillary architecture, and integrated mechanical and fluid dynamic factors that modulate local blood cell (e.g., erythrocyte) stretching. Here, nanoparticle physicochemical properties such as size, shape, deformability, and surface characteristics all play important roles in establishing nanoparticle impaction with blood cells, contact with endothelium, and rolling on vessel walls. Recent developments in nanoparticle engineering include precision control of particle shape, mechanical stiffness, and surface properties (Moghimi et al. 2012). These technological developments could offer an important tool for revisiting transport pathways in tumors systematically. In addition to these, some nanoparticles can physically adsorb to erythrocytes, and when injected intravenously exhibit prolonged circulation times in the blood (Pan et al. 2018; Wibroe et al. 2017). Accordingly, erythrocyte-bound particles (of different morphologies and dimensions) may also prove useful at least in assessing the endothelial massaging phenomenon in nanoparticle extravasation. Understanding the mechanisms of extravasation of nanoparticulate systems is key to improving pharmacokinetics and tumor targeting of anti-cancer nanomedicines.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- Anchordoquy TJ, Barenholz Y, Boraschi D, Chorny M, Decuzzi P, Dobrovolskaia MA, Farhangrazi ZS, Farrell D, Gabizon A, Ghandehari H, Godin B, La-Beck NM, Ljubimova J, Moghimi SM, Pagliaro L, Park J-H, Peer D, Ruoslahti E, Serkova NJ, Simberg D (2017) Mechanisms and barriers in cancer nanomedicine: addressing challenges, looking for solutions. *ACS Nano* 11:12–18

- Bjornholm M, Thurecht KJ, Michael M, Scott AM, Caruso F (2017) Bridging bio—nano science and cancer nanomedicine. *ACS Nano* 11:9594–9613
- Cabral H, Matsumoto Y, Mizuno K, Chen Q, Murakami M, Kimura M, Terada Y, Kano MR, Miyazono K, Uesaka M, Nishiyama N, Kataoka K (2011) Accumulation of sub-100 nm polymeric micelles in poorly permeable tumours depends on size. *Nat Nanotechnol* 6:815–823
- Chauhan VP, Stylianopoulos T, Martin JD, Popovic Z, Chen O, Kamoun WS, Bawendi MG, Fukumura D, Jain RK (2012) Normalization of tumour blood vessels improves the delivery of nanomedicines in a size-dependent manner. *Nat Nanotechnol* 7:383–388
- Daemen T, Veliniva MJ, Regts J, de Jager M, Kalicharan R, Donga J, van der Want JJ, Scherphof GL (1997) Different intrahepatic distribution of phosphatidylglycerol and phosphatidylserine liposomes in the rat. *Hepatology* 26: 416–423
- Dvorak AM, Feng D (2001) The vesiculo-vacular organelle (VVO): a new endothelial cell permeability organelle. *J Histochem Cytochem* 49:419–431
- Fang J, Nakamura MH (2011) The EPR effect: unique features of tumor blood vessels for drug delivery, factors involved, and limitations and augmentation of the effect. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 63:136–151
- Gerlowski LE, Jain RK (1986) Microvascular permeability of normal and neoplastic tissues. *Microvasc Res* 31:288–305
- Griffin JJ, Wang G, Smith WJ, Vu VP, Scheinman R, Stinch D, Moldovan R, Moghimi SM, Simberg D (2017) Revealing dynamics of accumulation of systemically injected liposomes in the skin by intravital microscopy. *ACS Nano* 11:11584–11593
- Hansen AE, Petersen AL, Henriksen JR, Boerresen B, Rasmussen P, Elema DR, Munck af Rosenschold P, Kristensen AT, Kjaer A, Andresen TL (2015) Positron emission tomography based elucidation of the enhanced permeability and retention effect in dogs with cancer using copper-64 liposomes. *ACS Nano* 9:6985–6995
- Hobbs SK, Monsky WL, Yuan F, Roberts WG, Griffith L, Torchilin VP, Jain RK (1998) Regulation of transport pathways in tumor vessels: role of tumor type and microenvironment. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 95:3607–3612
- Huang SK, Martin FJ, Jay G, Vogel J, Papahadjopoulos D, Friend DS (1993) Extravasation and transcytosis of liposomes in Kaposi's sarcoma-like dermal lesions of transgenic mice bearing the HIV tat gene. *Am J Pathol* 143:10–14
- Key J, Palange AL, Gentile F, Aryal S, Stigliano C, Di Mascolo D, De Rosa E, Cho M, Lee Y, Singh J, Decuzzi P (2015) Soft discoidal polymeric nanoconstructs resist macrophage uptake and enhance vascular targeting in tumors. *ACS Nano* 9: 11628–11641
- Lee T-R, Chai M, Kopacz AM, Yun S-H, Liu WK, Decuzzi P (2013) On the near-wall accumulation of injectable particles in the microcirculation: smaller is not better. *Sci Rep* 3:2079
- Liu X, Lin P, Perrett I, Lin J, Liao YP, Chang CH, Jiang J, Wu N, Donahue T, Wainberg Z, Nel A, Meng H (2017) Tumor-penetrating peptide enhances transcytosis of silicasome-based chemotherapy for pancreatic cancer. *J Clin Invest* 127:2007–2018
- Matsumoto Y, Nichols JW, Toh K, Nomoto T, Cabral H, Miura Y, Christie RJ, Yamada N, Ogura T, Kano MR, Matsumura Y, Nishiyama N, Yamasoba T, Bae YH, Kataoka K (2016) Vascular bursts enhance permeability of tumour blood vessels and improve nanoparticle delivery. *Nat Nanotechnol* 11: 533–538
- Matsumura Y, Maeda H (1986) A new concept for macromolecular therapeutics in cancer chemotherapy: mechanism of tumorotropic accumulation of proteins and the antitumor agent smacs. *Cancer Res* 36:6387–6392
- Moghimi SM, Farhangrazi ZS (2014) Just do stories: the random act of anti-cancer nanomedicine performance. *Nanomedicine* 10:1661–1666
- Moghimi SM, Hunter AC, Andresen TL (2012) Factors controlling nanoparticle pharmacokinetics: an integrated analysis and perspective. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 52:481–503
- Nel A, Ruoslahti E, Meng H (2017) New insights into “permeability” as in the enhanced permeability and retention effect of cancer nanotherapeutics. *ACS Nano* 11:9567–9569
- Pan DC, Myerson JW, Brenner JS, Patel PN, Anselmo AC, Mitragotri S, Muzykantov V (2018) Nanoparticle properties modulate their attachment and effect on carrier red blood cells. *Sci Rep* 8:1615
- Pang H-B, Braun GB, Friman T, Aza-Blanc P, Ruidiaz M, Sugahara KN, Teesalu T, Ruoslahti E (2014) An endocytic pathway initiated through neuropilin-1, regulated by nutrient availability. *Nat Commun* 5:4904
- Park K (2013) Facing the truth about nanotechnology in drug delivery. *ACS Nano* 7:7332–7337
- Romero EL, Morilla M-J, Regts J, Koning GA, Scherphof GL (1999) On the mechanism of hepatic transendothelial passage of large liposomes. *FEBS Lett* 448:193–196
- Scherphof GL, Kamps JAAM (2001) The role of hepatocytes in the clearance of liposomes from the blood circulation. *Prog Lipid Res* 40:149–166
- Smith BR, Kempen P, Bouley D, Xu A, Liu Z, Melosh N, Dai H, Sinclair R, Gambhir SS (2012) Shape matters: intravital microscopy reveals surprising geometrical dependence for nanoparticles in tumor models of extravasation. *Nano Lett* 12:3369–3377
- Sykes EA, Chen J, Zheng G, Chan WCW (2014) Investigating the impact of nanoparticle size on active and passive tumor targeting efficiency. *ACS Nano* 8:5696–5706
- Taurin S, Nehoff H, Greish K (2012) Anticancer nanomedicine and tumor vascular permeability: where is the missing link? *J Control Release* 163:265–275
- Thurston G, McLean JW, Rizen M, Baluk P, Haskell A, Murphy TJ, Hanahan D, McDonald DM (1998) Cationic liposomes target angiogenic endothelial cells in tumors and chronic inflammation in mice. *J Clin Invest* 101:1401–1413
- Wibroe PP, Anselmo AC, Nilsson PH, Sarode A, Gupta V, Urbanics R, Szebeni J, Hunter AC, Mitragotri S, Mollnes TE, Moghimi SM (2017) Bypassing adverse injection reactions to nanoparticles through shape modification and attachment to erythrocytes. *Nat Nanotechnol* 12:589–594
- Wisse E, de Zanger R, van der Smissen P, McCuskey RS (1985) The liver sieve: considerations concerning the structure and function of endothelial fenestra, the sinusoidal wall and the space of Disse. *Hepatology* 5:683–692
- Yuan F, Dellian M, Fukumura D, Leunig M, Berk DA, Torchilin VP, Jain RK (1995) Vascular permeability in a human tumor xenograft: molecular size dependence and cutoff size. *Cancer Res* 55:3752–3756